TEMPERED DISTRIBUTIONS WITH DISCRETE SUPPORT AND SPECTRUM

S. YU. FAVOROV

Abstract. We investigate properties of tempered distributions with discrete or countable support such that their Fourier transforms are distributions with discrete or countable support as well. We find sufficient conditions for the support of the distribution to be a finite union of translations of a full-rank lattice. We also find conditions for a distribution to be almost periodic.

1. Introduction

Denote by $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Schwartz space of test functions $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with finite norms

$$N_{n,m}(\varphi) = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{\max\{1, |x|^n\} \max_{\|k\| \leq m} |D^k \varphi(x)|\}, \quad n, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$$

where

$$k = (k_1, \ldots, k_d) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d, \quad \|k\| = k_1 + \cdots + k_d, \quad D^k = \partial_{x_1}^{k_1} \cdots \partial_{x_d}^{k_d}.$$

These norms generate the topology on $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Elements of the space $S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of continuous linear functionals on $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are called tempered distributions. For each tempered distribution $f$ there are $c < \infty$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that for all $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$|f(\varphi)| \leq cN_{n,m}(\varphi). \quad (1)$$

Moreover, this estimate is sufficient for a distribution $f$ to belong to $S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (see [23, Ch. 3]).

The Fourier transform of a tempered distribution $f$ is defined by the equality

$$\hat{f}(\varphi) = f(\hat{\varphi}) \quad \text{for all} \quad \varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where

$$\hat{\varphi}(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \exp\{-2\pi i (x, y)\} \, dx$$

is the Fourier transform of the function $\varphi$. Note that the Fourier transform of every tempered distribution is also a tempered distribution.

An element $f \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is called a Fourier quasicrystal if $f$ and $\hat{f}$ are measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with closed discrete supports. In this case the support of $\hat{f}$ is called spectrum of $f$. These notions were inspired by the experimental discovery made in the middle of the 80’s of non-periodic atomic structures with diffraction patterns consisting of spots. There are a lot of papers devoted to the investigation of properties of Fourier quasicrystals (see, for example, collections of works [1], [20], papers [3]-[18], and so on).

Date: Received 29 January 2018. Accepted 27 June 2018.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46F12, 42B10.
Key words and phrases. Temperate distribution, almost periodic distribution, Fourier transform of distributions, discrete support.
We will say that a set \( A \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) is strongly discrete if it has no finite limit points (in other words, \( A \) is closed and discrete), and \( A \) is uniformly discrete if it has a strictly positive separating constant 
\[
\eta(A) := \inf\{|x - x'| : x, x' \in A, x \neq x'\}.
\]
A complex Radon measure or tempered distribution is strongly discrete (uniformly discrete) if its support is strongly discrete (uniformly discrete). We will call the support of the Fourier transform of a tempered distribution \( f \) the spectrum of \( f \) as well. Following [10], we will say that a discrete set \( A \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) is a set of finite type, if the set 
\[
A - A = \{x - x' : x, x' \in A\}
\]
is strongly discrete. A set \( L \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) is called a full-rank lattice if \( L = T \mathbb{Z}^d \) for some nondegenerate linear operator \( T \) on \( \mathbb{R}^d \). The lattice \( L^* = (T^d)^{-1} \mathbb{Z}^d \) is called the conjugate lattice for \( L \). A set \( A \) is a pure crystal with respect to a full-rank lattice \( L \) if it is a finite union of cosets of \( L \).

We begin with the following result of N. Lev and A. Olevskii [8] on quasicrystals.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( \mu \) be a uniformly discrete positive Fourier quasicrystal on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with uniformly discrete spectrum. Then the support of \( \mu \) is a subset of a pure crystal with respect to a full-rank lattice \( L \), and the spectrum of \( \mu \) is a subset of a pure crystal with respect to the conjugate lattice \( L^* \). In the dimension \( d = 1 \) the assertion is valid without the positivity assumption.

Several results stronger than Theorem 1.1 were obtained in [9].

Note that for all \( d \) there are Fourier quasicrystals with strongly discrete support and spectrum such that the above assertion is not valid [11]. Also, for dimension \( d > 1 \) there are non-positive Fourier quasicrystal with uniformly discrete support and spectrum such that their support is not a pure crystal [4].

There is another type of result.

**Theorem 1.2** (Favorov, [5]). Let \( \mu \) be a complex measure on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with strongly discrete support \( \Lambda \) of finite type such that \( \inf_{x \in \Lambda} |\mu(x)| > 0 \). Let the Fourier transform be a measure \( \hat{\mu} = \sum_{y \in \Gamma} b(y)\delta_y \) with the countable \( \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) such that 
\[
\sum_{|y|<r} |b(y)| = O(r^T), \quad r \to \infty,
\]
with some \( T < \infty \). Then \( \Lambda \) is a pure crystal.

Let us now go over from measures to distributions. V. Palamodov [11] obtained the following theorem, close in spirit to Theorem 1.1 but under considerably stronger discreteness assumptions on the support and the spectrum.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \( f \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \) be such that its support \( \Lambda \) and spectrum \( \Gamma \) are strongly discrete sets of finite type and, moreover, one of the differences \( \Lambda - \Lambda \) and \( \Gamma - \Gamma \) is uniformly discrete. Then \( \Lambda \) is a pure crystal with respect to a lattice \( L \) and \( \Gamma \) is a pure crystal with respect to the conjugate lattice \( L^* \).

In the present paper we obtain two analogs of Theorem 1.2 for tempered distributions.
2. The main results

By \[22\], every distribution \( f \) with strongly discrete support \( \Lambda \) has the form
\[
 f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} P_\lambda(D) \delta_\lambda, \quad P_\lambda(x) = \sum_{\|k\| \leq K_\lambda} p_{\lambda,k} x^k, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ p_{\lambda,k} \in \mathbb{C}, \ K_\lambda < \infty.
\]
Here \( \delta_\gamma \) means, as usual, the unit mass at the point \( y \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( x_k = x_1^k \cdots x_d^k \).

Moreover, \( \text{ord} f = \sup \lambda \deg P_\lambda < \infty \) (see Proposition 3.1 below). Therefore we will consider distributions
\[
 f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{\|k\| \leq m} p_{\lambda,k} D^k \delta_\lambda, \quad k \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d.
\]

If the Fourier transform \( \hat{f} \) has a strongly discrete support \( \Gamma \), we also have
\[
 \hat{f} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sum_{\|j\| \leq m'} q_{\gamma,j} D^j \delta_\gamma, \quad j \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d.
\]

We will suppose that \( m = \text{ord} f \) and \( m' = \text{ord} \hat{f} \). Also, we will consider the case of distributions \( f \) and \( \hat{f} \) of forms (2) and (3) with arbitrary countable \( \Lambda \) and \( \Gamma \). If this is the case, we will also say that \( \Lambda \) is the support and \( \Gamma \) is the spectrum of \( f \).

Denote by \( B(x,r) \) the ball in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) of radius \( r \) with the center in \( x \), \( B(r) = B(0,r) \). By \( \#A \) denote a number of elements of the finite set \( A \), and put \( n_A(r) = \#(A \cap B(r)) \).

Clearly, every uniformly discrete set \( A \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) is of bounded density if
\[
 \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \#(A \cap B(x,1)) < \infty.
\]

In our article we prove the following theorems

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( f_1, f_2 \) be tempered distributions on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with strongly discrete supports \( \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \), respectively, such that \( \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2 \) is a strongly discrete set and
\[
 \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda_j} \kappa_{f_j}(\lambda) > 0, \quad j = 1, 2.
\]

If \( \hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_2 \) are both measures with discrete supports \( \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \), respectively, such that
\[
 \exists h < \infty, \ c > 0 \text{ such that } |\gamma - \gamma'| > c \min \{1, |\gamma|^{-h} \} \quad \forall \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma_j, \ j = 1, 2, \ \gamma \neq \gamma',
\]
then \( \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \) are pure crystals with respect to a single full-rank lattice.
The same is true if $f_1, f_2$ are both purely atomic measures such that
$$\exists T < \infty \ |f_1|(B(r)) + |f_2|(B(r)) = O(r^T), \ r \to \infty.$$  

**Corollary 2.2.** Let $f$ be a tempered distribution on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a discrete support $\Lambda$ of finite type such that $\inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \kappa_f(\lambda) > 0$. If $\hat{f}$ is a measure with discrete support $\Gamma$ such that
$$\exists h < \infty, \ c > 0 \text{ such that } |\gamma - \gamma'| > c \min\{1, |\gamma|^{-h}\} \ \forall \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma, \ \gamma \neq \gamma',$$
then $\Lambda$ is a pure crystal.

The same is valid if $\hat{f}$ is a purely atomic measure such that
$$\exists T < \infty \ |\hat{f}|(B(r)) = O(r^T), \ r \to \infty.$$  

**Theorem 2.3.** Let $f_1, f_2$ be tempered distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with strongly discrete relatively dense supports $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$ and strongly discrete spectrums $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$, let $\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2$ be a strongly discrete set, and let
$$\exists T < \infty \ n_{\Gamma_1}(r) + n_{\Gamma_2}(r) = O(r^T), \ r \to \infty.$$  

If conditions $[4]$ and
$$\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_i} \kappa_{f_j}(\lambda) < \infty, \ j = 1, 2,$$
are satisfied, then $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$ are pure crystals with respect to a single full-rank lattice.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let $f$ be a tempered distribution on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a strongly discrete support $\Lambda$ of finite type and a strongly discrete spectrum $\Gamma$ such that
$$\exists T < \infty \ n_{\Gamma}(r) = O(r^T), \ r \to \infty.$$  

If
$$0 < \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \kappa_f(\lambda) \leq \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \kappa_f(\lambda) < \infty,$$
then $\Lambda$ is a pure crystal.

We leave proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.3 until Section 6. These proofs are based on properties of almost periodic distributions, i.e., distributions $f \in S^*(\mathbb{R})$ such that the functions $f*\psi(t) = f(\psi(-t))$ are almost periodic in $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for each $\psi \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In Section 5 we investigate the notion of almost periodicity and prove the following theorems.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let one of the following conditions for $f \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be satisfied:

i) $\hat{f}$ is a measure, $\Gamma$ is strongly discrete and satisfies $[6]$.

ii) $\hat{f}$ is a measure $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} q_\gamma \delta_{\gamma}, \ \Gamma$ is countable, and $|\hat{f}|(B(r)) = O(r^T)$ as $r \to \infty$,

iii) $\hat{f}$ is a measure $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} q_\gamma \delta_{\gamma}, \ \Gamma$ is strongly discrete, $n_{\Gamma}(r) = O(r^{T_1})$ as $r \to \infty$, and $q_\gamma = O(|\gamma|^{T_2})$ as $|\gamma| \to \infty$,

iv) $\Gamma$ is strongly discrete, $n_{\Gamma}(r) = O(r^T)$ as $r \to \infty$, and $f$ satisfies $[7]$ with $n = d$,

v) $f$ has the form $[3]$ with countable $\Lambda$, $\Gamma$ is strongly discrete, $n_{\Gamma}(r) = O(r^T)$ and $\rho_f(r) = O(r^d)$ as $r \to \infty$,

vi) $f$ has the form $[3]$ with countable $\Lambda$, $\Gamma$ is strongly discrete, $n_{\Gamma}(r) = O(r^T)$ as $r \to \infty$, $\kappa_f(\lambda)$ is bounded and $\Lambda$ is of bounded density,

where $T, T_1, T_2$ are some constants.

Then $f$ is an almost periodic distribution.

Also, there are some converse results.
Theorem 2.6. i) If \( f \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \) is an almost periodic distribution with strongly discrete spectrum \( \Gamma \), then \( \hat{f} \) is a measure,

ii) if \( f \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \) is an almost periodic distribution and \( \hat{f} \) is a measure such that \( |\hat{f}|(B(r)) = O(r^T) \) as \( r \to \infty \), then \( \hat{f} \) is a purely atomic measure,

iii) if \( A \) is a strongly discrete subset of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) and every \( f \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \) with spectrum contained in \( A \) is almost periodic, then \( A \) satisfies (6).

Note that for \( d = 1, \Gamma = \mathbb{Z} + \alpha \mathbb{Z} \) part i) of Theorem 2.5 and part i) of Theorem 2.6 is contained in [18]. Also, Theorem 2.5 gives another proof of almost periodicity of Guinand’s measure from [18].

3. Preliminary properties of distributions with strongly discrete support

Proposition 3.1. Suppose \( f \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \) has a strongly discrete support \( \Lambda \). Then for some \( m < \infty \)

\[
f = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{|k| \leq m} p_{\lambda,k} D_k \delta_\lambda, \quad k \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d.
\]

If, in addition, the support satisfies the condition

\[
\exists h < \infty, \quad c > 0 \quad \text{such that} \quad |\lambda - \lambda'| > c \min \{1, |\lambda|^{-h}\} \quad \forall \lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq \lambda',
\]

then

\[
\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, C < \infty \quad \text{such that} \quad |p_{\lambda,k}| \leq C \max \{1, |\lambda|^n\} \quad \forall k, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda.
\]

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let \( \lambda \in \Lambda \) and \( \varepsilon \in (0,1) \) be such that

\[
\inf \{|\lambda - \lambda'| : \lambda' \in \Lambda, \lambda' \neq \lambda\} > \varepsilon.
\]

Let \( \varphi \) be a function on \( \mathbb{R} \) such that

\[
\varphi(|x|) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \varphi(|x|) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |x| > 1/2, \quad \varphi(|x|) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad |x| \leq 1/3.
\]

Then

\[
\varphi_{\lambda,k}(x) = \frac{(x - \lambda)^k}{k!} \varphi(|x - \lambda|/\varepsilon) \in S(\mathbb{R}^d),
\]

where, as usual, \( k! = k_1! \cdots k_d! \). It is easily shown that

\[
f(\varphi_{\lambda,k}) = (-1)^{|k|} p_{\lambda,k}.
\]

Let \( f \) satisfy (1) with some \( m, n \). We get

\[
|f(\varphi_{\lambda,k})| \leq \sup_{|x - \lambda| < \varepsilon} \max \{1, |x|^n\} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \leq m} c(\alpha, \beta) \left| D^\alpha \varphi \left( \frac{|x - \lambda|}{\varepsilon} \right) D^\beta \left( \frac{(x - \lambda)^k}{k!} \right) \right|,
\]

where \( \alpha, \beta \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d \) and \( c(\alpha, \beta) < \infty \). Note that

\[
|D^\alpha \varphi(|x - \lambda|/\varepsilon)| \leq \varepsilon^{-\|\alpha\|} c(\alpha)
\]

and

\[
D^\beta (x - \lambda)^k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k_j < \beta_j \text{ for at least one } j, \\
c(k, \beta) (x - \lambda)^{k - \beta} & \text{if } k_j \geq \beta_j \text{ for all } j.
\end{cases}
\]

Since \( |x - \lambda| < 1 \), we get

\[
\max \{1, |x|^n\} \leq 2^n \max \{1, |\lambda|^n\}.
\]
Taking into account that
\[ \text{supp } \varphi(|x - \lambda|/\varepsilon) \subset B(\lambda, \varepsilon), \]
we get
\[ |p_{\lambda,k}| \leq \sum_{\|\alpha + \beta\| \leq m, \beta_j \leq k_j \forall j} c(k, \alpha, \beta) \max\{1, |\lambda|^n\} \varepsilon^{\|k\| - \|\alpha + \beta\|}. \tag{11} \]

For \( \|k\| > m \) we take \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) and obtain \( p_{\lambda,k} = 0. \)

Next, let (8) be true and (9) not be satisfied. Then there are \( k, \|k\| \leq m, \) and a sequence \( \lambda_s \to \infty \) such that \( |\lambda_s| > 2 \) for all \( s \) and
\[ \log |p_{\lambda_s,k}|/\log |\lambda_s| \to \infty, \quad s \to \infty. \tag{12} \]

Put \( \beta_s = c|\lambda_s|^{-h} \) and
\[ \psi_{s,k}(x) = (x - \lambda_s)^k/k! \varphi\left(\frac{x - \lambda_s}{\beta_s}\right), \quad \Psi_k(x) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \psi_{s,k}(x)/p_{\lambda_s,k}. \]

By (12),
\[ 1/p_{\lambda_s,k} = o\left(1/|\lambda_s|^N\right), \quad |\lambda_s| \to \infty, \quad \text{for every } N < \infty. \]

Since
\[ D^j(\psi_{s,k}(x)) = O(|\lambda_s|^{|j|}), \quad j \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d, \quad \text{and } \supp \psi_{s,k} \cap \supp \psi_{s',k} = \emptyset, \quad s \neq s', \]
we see that
\[ D^j(\Psi_k(x)) = o\left(1/|x|^{N-h|j|}\right), \quad x \to \infty, \]
and \( \Psi_k \in S(\mathbb{R}^d). \)

By (8), \( \lambda \not\in B(\lambda_s, c|\lambda_s|^{-h}) \) for all \( \lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{\lambda_s\}, \) therefore, \( f(\Psi_k) \) is equal to
\[ \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{\|j\| \leq m} \sum_s (-1)^{|j|} p_{\lambda,j} p_{\lambda_s,k}^{-1} D^j(\psi_{s,k})(\lambda) = \sum_s \sum_{\|j\| \leq m} (-1)^{|j|} p_{\lambda,s} p_{\lambda_s,k}^{-1} D^j(\psi_{s,k})(\lambda). \]

Since \( D^j(\psi_{s,k})(\lambda_s) = 0 \) for \( j \neq k \) and \( D^k(\psi_{s,k})(\lambda_s) = 1, \) we obtain the contradiction. \( \square \)

**Remark.** It follows immediately from (11) that for all \( k \) such that \( \|k\| = m \) we have \( |p_{\lambda,k}| \leq C \max\{1, |\lambda|^n\}. \) If \( \Lambda \) is uniformly discrete, then we take \( \varepsilon \equiv \text{const} \) and obtain the above inequality for all \( k. \) The last assertion was earlier proved by V. Palamodov \([19]\).

Proposition \([3.1]\) is sharp, in a sense.

**Example of a “bad” distribution.** Let \( \Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) be an arbitrary strongly discrete set, for which (8) is not satisfied. Therefore there exist sequences \( \{\lambda_s\}, \{\lambda'_s\} \subset \Lambda \) with the properties
\[ \lambda_s \neq \lambda'_s, \quad |\lambda_s| > s, \quad \frac{\log |\lambda_s - \lambda'_s|}{\log |\lambda_s|} \to -\infty, \quad s \to \infty. \]

Define the measure
\[ \mu = \sum_s p_s (\delta_{\lambda'_s} - \delta_{\lambda_s}), \quad p_s = |\lambda_s - \lambda'_s|^{-1}. \tag{13} \]

For each \( \varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^d) \) we have
\[ |\mu(\varphi)| \leq \sum_s |p_s| |\varphi(\lambda'_s) - \varphi(\lambda_s)| \leq \sum_s |p_s| \sup \{|\varphi'(x)| : x \in B(\lambda_s, |\lambda'_s - \lambda_s|)|\lambda'_s - \lambda_s|. \]
Since $\varphi'(x) = o(|x|^{-N})$ for every $N$ as $|x| \to \infty$, we see that the latter series converges. Therefore $\mu \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. On the other hand,

$$\log |p_s|/\log |\lambda_s| \to \infty, \quad s \to \infty,$$

hence $\{p_s\}$ does not satisfy (9). Also, for each $T < \infty$ the condition $\rho_\mu(r) = O(r^T)$ is not satisfied.

Next, let $\tilde{\Lambda} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a strongly discrete set such that $\tilde{\Lambda} \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$ and for each $T$ the condition $n_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(r) = O(r^T)$ is not satisfied. Let $\nu$ be a finite positive measure such that $\text{supp} \nu = \tilde{\Lambda}$. Clearly, $\nu \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Therefore the measure $\mu + \nu$ is a tempered distribution, and for each $T$ the conditions $n_{\tilde{\Lambda} \cup \Lambda}(r) = O(r^T)$ and $\rho_{\mu + \nu}(r) = O(r^T)$ are not satisfied.

4. Preliminary properties of distributions with strongly discrete spectrum

**Proposition 4.1.** Suppose $f$ is a linear functional on the space $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that satisfies (1) with some $n, m$, and has a strongly discrete spectrum $\Gamma$. Then $\hat{f} \leq \max\{0, n - d\}$, and the coefficients $q_{\gamma,j}$ in representation (3) satisfy the estimate

$$|q_{\gamma,j}| \leq C' \max\{1, |\gamma|^m\} \text{ for } \|j\| = n - d.$$ 

For the case of a uniformly discrete $\Gamma$ we get

$$|q_{\gamma,j}| \leq C \max\{1, |\gamma|^m\} \quad \forall j, \|j\| \leq n - d.$$ 

**Corollary 4.2.** Suppose $f$ is a linear functional on the space $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that satisfies (1) with $n \leq d$ and some $m$, and has a strongly discrete spectrum $\Gamma$. Then $\hat{f}$ is a measure,

$$\hat{f} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} q_{\gamma} \delta_{\gamma}, \quad |q_{\gamma}| \leq C' \max\{1, |\gamma|^m\}.$$ 

**Proof of Proposition 4.1** First note that $f \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, therefore, $\hat{f} \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as well. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and pick $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\inf\{|\gamma - \gamma'| : \gamma' \in \Gamma, \gamma' \neq \gamma\} > \varepsilon.$$ 

Let $\varphi$ be the same as in the proof of Proposition 5.1. Put

$$\varphi_{\gamma,l,\varepsilon}(y) = \frac{(y - \gamma)^l}{l!} \varphi(|y - \gamma|/\varepsilon) \in S(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

We have

$$(-1)^{||l||} q_{\gamma,l} = \sum_{\|j\| \leq m'} q_{\gamma,j} D_j^l \delta_{\gamma}(\varphi_{\gamma,l,\varepsilon}(y)) = (\hat{f}, \varphi_{\gamma,l,\varepsilon}) = (f, \hat{\varphi}_{\gamma,l,\varepsilon}).$$

Note that

$$\hat{\varphi}_{\gamma,l,\varepsilon}(x) = e^{-2\pi i (x, \gamma)} (l!)^{-1} (-2\pi i)^{-||l||} \partial_l^l \hat{\varphi}(\varepsilon x) = c(l) e^{-2\pi i (x, \gamma) \varepsilon d + ||l||} (D^l \hat{\varphi})(\varepsilon x).$$

Therefore,

$$D^k(\hat{\varphi}_{\gamma,l,\varepsilon}(x)) = \varepsilon^{d + ||l||} \sum_{\alpha + \beta = k} c(\alpha, \beta) D^\alpha \left[e^{-2\pi i (x, \gamma)}\right] D^\beta [(D^l \hat{\varphi})(\varepsilon x)]$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha + \beta = k} c(\alpha, \beta) (-2\pi i)^{||\alpha||} \gamma^\alpha e^{-2\pi i (x, \gamma)} \varepsilon^{d + ||l|| + ||\beta||} (D^\beta + l \hat{\varphi})(\varepsilon x).$$
Since \( \hat{\varphi} \in S(\mathbb{R}^d) \), we get for every \( k, \|k\| \leq m \), and every \( M < \infty \)
\[
|D^k(\hat{\varphi}_\gamma,l,\varepsilon(x))| \leq C(M)\varepsilon^{|k|+\|l\|} \max\{1,|\gamma|^m\} \max\{1,|\varepsilon x|^M\}^{-1}.
\]

Pick \( M = n \). By (1), we have
\[
|(f, \hat{\varphi}_\gamma,l,\varepsilon)| \leq c(f) \sup_{\mathbb{R}^d} \max\{1,|x|^n\} \max_{\|k\| \leq m} |D^k(\hat{\varphi}_\gamma,l,\varepsilon(x))|.
\]

Hence,
\[
|q_{\gamma,l}| = |(f, \hat{\varphi}_\gamma,l,\varepsilon)| \leq C'(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{|l|+\|l\|} \max\{1,|\gamma|^m\} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \max\{1,|x|^n\}(\max\{1,|\varepsilon x|^n\})^{-1}.
\]

Since the sup in the right-hand side of the inequality equals \( \varepsilon^{-n} \), we obtain
\[
|q_{\gamma,l}| \leq C' \max\{1,|\gamma|^m\} \varepsilon^{\|l\|+|l|}.
\]

If \( \|l\| > n - d \), we take \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) and get \( q_{\gamma,l} = 0 \), hence \( \hat{f} \leq n - d \).

For \( \|l\| = n - d \) we get \( |q_{\gamma,l}| \leq C' \max\{1,|\gamma|^m\} \).

If \( \Gamma \) is uniformly discrete, we take \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 < \eta(\Gamma)/2 \) for all \( \gamma \in \Gamma \) and obtain the bound
\[
|q_{\gamma,l}| \leq \varepsilon_0^{n-d} C' \max\{1,|\gamma|^m\} \forall l, \|l\| \leq n - d.
\]

M. Kolountzakis and J. Lagarias proved in [14] that the Fourier transform of every measure \( \mu \) on the line \( \mathbb{R} \) with support of bounded density, bounded masses \( \mu(\{x\}) \), and strongly discrete spectrum is also a measure \( \hat{\mu} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} q_{\gamma} \delta_{\gamma} \) with uniformly bounded \( q_{\gamma} \). The following proposition generalizes this result for distributions from \( S^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \).

**Proposition 4.3.** Suppose \( f \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \) has the form (\ref{eq:4.3}) with some \( m \) and countable \( \Lambda \), and a strongly discrete spectrum \( \Gamma \). If
\[
\rho_f(r) = O(r^{d+H}), \quad r \to \infty, \quad H \geq 0,
\]
then \( \hat{f} \leq H \). If, in addition, \( H \) is integer, then for \( \|l\| = H \) we get \( |q_{\gamma,j}| \leq C' \max\{1,|\gamma|^m\} \).

For the case of uniformly discrete \( \Gamma \) we get
\[
|q_{\gamma,j}| \leq C \max\{1,|\gamma|^m\} \quad \forall j, \|l\| \leq H.
\]

**Corollary 4.4.** If \( f \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \) has the form (\ref{eq:4.3}) with some \( m \) and countable \( \Lambda \), strongly discrete spectrum \( \Gamma \), and
\[
\rho_f(r) = O(r^d) \quad r \to \infty,
\]
then \( \hat{f} \) is a measure, and
\[
\hat{f} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} q_{\gamma} \delta_{\gamma}, \quad |q_{\gamma}| \leq C' \max\{1,|\gamma|^m\}.
\]

**Corollary 4.5.** If \( f \in S^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \) has the form (\ref{eq:4.3}) with some \( m \), bounded \( \kappa_f(\lambda) \), strongly discrete support \( \Lambda \) of bounded density, and strongly discrete spectrum \( \Gamma \), then \( \hat{f} \) is a measure, and
\[
\hat{f} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} q_{\gamma} \delta_{\gamma}, \quad |q_{\gamma}| \leq C' \max\{1,|\gamma|^m\}.
\]
Proof of Proposition 4.3 Let \( \varphi, l, \gamma, \varepsilon \) be the same as in the proof of Proposition 4.1. By (14) and (2),

\[
(1 - 1) \parallel l \parallel^q_{\gamma,l} = (f, \hat{\varphi}_{\gamma,l,\varepsilon}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{k \parallel k \parallel \leq m} p_{\lambda,k} (1 - 1) \parallel k \parallel D_k (\hat{\varphi}_{\gamma,l,\varepsilon}(\lambda)).
\]

Using (15), we get

\[
|q_{\gamma,l}| \leq C'(m, M) \varepsilon^{d+||l||} \max\{1, |\gamma|^m\} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \kappa_f(\lambda)(\max\{1, |\varepsilon\lambda|^M\})^{-1}.
\]

We have

\[
\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \kappa_f(\lambda)(\max\{1, |\varepsilon\lambda|^M\})^{-1} = \rho_f(1/\varepsilon) + \varepsilon^{-M} \int_{1/\varepsilon}^{\infty} t^{-M} d\rho_f(t)
\]

Pick \( M > d + H \). Integrating by parts and using the estimate for \( \rho_f(r) \), we see that the right-hand side is equal to

\[
O(\varepsilon^{-d-H}) + \varepsilon^{-M} M \int_{1/\varepsilon}^{\infty} \rho_f(t) t^{-M-1} dt = O(\varepsilon^{-d-H}) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to \infty.
\]

Finally,

\[
|q_{\gamma,l}| \leq C' \max\{1, |\gamma|^m\} \varepsilon^{||l||-H}.
\]

If \( ||l|| > H \), we take \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) and get \( q_{\gamma,l} = 0 \), hence, \( m' = \text{ord } \hat{f} \leq H \).

If \( H \) is integer, we get \( |q_{\gamma,l}| \leq C' \max\{1, |\gamma|^m\} \) for \( ||l|| = H \).

If \( \Gamma \) is uniformly discrete, we take \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 < \eta(\Gamma)/2 \) for all \( \gamma \in \Gamma \) and obtain the bound

\[
|q_{\gamma,l}| \leq \varepsilon_0^{-H} C' \max\{1, |\gamma|^m\} \quad \forall \gamma, ||l|| \leq m'.
\]

5. Almost periodic distributions

Recall that a continuous function \( g \) on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) is almost periodic if for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) the set of \( \varepsilon \)-almost periods of \( g \)

\[
\{ \tau \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |g(x + \tau) - g(x)| < \varepsilon \}
\]

is a relatively dense set in \( \mathbb{R}^d \).

Almost periodic functions are uniformly bounded on \( \mathbb{R}^d \). The class of almost periodic functions is closed with respect to taking absolute values, linear combinations, and maximum, and minimum of a finite family of almost periodic functions. A limit of a uniformly (in \( \mathbb{R}^d \)) convergent sequence of almost periodic functions is also almost periodic. A typical example of an almost periodic function is an absolutely convergent exponential sum

\[
\sum c_n \exp\{2\pi i \langle x, \omega_n \rangle\}
\]

with \( \omega_n \in \mathbb{R}^d \) (see, for example, [2]).

A measure \( \mu \) on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) is called almost periodic if the function

\[
(\psi \ast \mu)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(x - t) d\mu(x)
\]

is almost periodic in \( t \in \mathbb{R}^d \) for each continuous function \( \psi \) on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with compact support. A distribution \( f \in S^*(\mathbb{R}) \) is almost periodic if the function \( (\psi \ast f)(t) = f(\psi(\cdot - t)) \) is almost periodic in \( t \in \mathbb{R}^d \) for each \( \psi \in S(\mathbb{R}^d) \) (see [7, 17, 18, 21]). Clearly, every almost periodic
distribution has a relatively dense support. But there are measures that are almost periodic tempered distributions, but are not almost periodic as measures (see [17]).

**Proof of Theorem 2.5** ii) Pick $\hat{\psi} \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The inverse Fourier transform of the function $\hat{\psi}(x-t)$ is $\hat{\psi}(-y)e^{2\pi i (y,t)}$. Therefore,

$$f(\psi(x-t)) = \hat{f}(\hat{\psi}(-y)e^{2\pi i (y,t)}) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} q_{\gamma} f(\hat{\psi}(-\gamma)e^{2\pi i (\gamma,t)}).$$

Since $\hat{\psi}(-y) \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we get $|\hat{\psi}(-\gamma)| \leq C(\psi,T) \max\{1,|\gamma|\}^{-T-1}$, therefore, the sum in (16) is majorized by the following expression

$$C(\psi,T) \left[ \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma, |\gamma|<1} |q_{\gamma}| + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma, |\gamma| \geq 1} |q_{\gamma}| |\gamma|^{-T-1} \right] = C(\psi,T) \left[ \rho_f(1) + \int_1^\infty r^{-T-1} d\rho_f(r) \right].$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain that the integral converges. Then the sum in (16) converges absolutely, and $\psi \ast f$ is almost periodic.

Next, iii) implies ii). Indeed, since $|q_{\gamma}| \leq C|\gamma|^T$ for $|\gamma| \geq 1$, we get, after integrating by parts,

$$\rho_f(r) \leq C' \left[ \rho_f(1) + \int_1^{r} t^{T-1} d\rho_f(t) \right] = O(r^{T_1+T_2}), \quad r \to \infty.$$ 

Then i), Proposition 3.1, and the Lemma below implies iii).

**Lemma 5.1.** If a set $\Gamma$ satisfies (6), then $n_{\Gamma}(r) = O(r^{d(h+1)})$ as $r \to \infty$.

**Proof of the Lemma.** Consider the annuli

$$A_s = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d : s - 1 \leq |y| < s \}, \quad s \in \mathbb{N}.$$ 

By (6),

$$B(\gamma, (c/2)s^{-h}) \cap B(\gamma', (c/2)s^{-h}) = \emptyset \quad \text{for } \gamma, \gamma' \in A_s \cap \Gamma, \gamma \neq \gamma'.$$

Hence for $s$ such that $(c/2)s^{-h} < 1$ the sum of the volumes of the balls $B(\gamma, (c/2)s^{-h})$, $\gamma \in A_s \cap \Gamma$, does not exceed the volume of the annulus $A_{s-1} \cup A_s \cup A_{s+1}$. Therefore we have

$$\#(\Gamma \cap A_s) \leq \frac{(s+2)^d - (s-1)^d}{(c/2)s^{-h}d} \leq C s^{dh+d-1}, \quad C < \infty,$$

and

$$n_{\Gamma}(r) \leq \sum_{s<r+1} \#(\Gamma \cap A_s) = O(r^{d(h+1)}).$$

Next, iv) and Corollary 4.2 or v) and Corollary 4.4 or vi) and Corollary 4.5 implies iii). ■

**Proof of Theorem 2.6** i) Let $f$ be an almost periodic tempered distribution with a strongly discrete spectrum $\Gamma$. By Proposition 3.1, the Fourier transform of $f$ has the form (3). Suppose that $m' \neq 0$ and $q_{\gamma'', j''} \neq 0$ for some $\gamma'' \in \Gamma$ and $j'' = (j''_1, \ldots, j''_d)$, $|j''| = m'$. Without loss of generality suppose that $j''_1 \neq 0$. Let $j' = (j'_1 - 1, j'_2, \ldots, j'_d)$.

Pick $\varepsilon < \min\{|\gamma' - \gamma| : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$, and $\varphi_{\gamma', j''; \varepsilon}(y) = \frac{(y - \gamma')j''}{j''_1!} \varphi((y - \gamma')/\varepsilon)$,
where \( \varphi \) is defined in (10). We have

\[
\hat{f}(e^{2\pi i(y,t)\varphi_{\gamma',j'',\varepsilon}}(y)) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sum_{\|j\| \leq m'} (-1)\|j\| q_{\gamma,j} D^{(e^{2\pi i(y,t)\varphi_{\gamma',j'',\varepsilon}})}(\gamma).
\]

Since

\[
D^{(e^{2\pi i(y,t)\varphi_{\gamma',j'',\varepsilon}})}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \gamma \neq \gamma' \text{ or } j 
eq j'', \\ 1 & \text{if } \gamma = \gamma' \text{ and } j = j''. \end{cases}
\]

we see that expression (17) is equal to

\[
(-1)\|j''\| q_{\gamma',j'',\varepsilon} e^{2\pi i(\gamma',t)} + (-1)\|j''\| q_{\gamma',j'',\varepsilon} 2\pi i(\gamma',t).
\]

Here the first summand is bounded and the second one is unbounded in \( t \in \mathbb{R}^d \). Hence the function

\[
f(\hat{\varphi}_{\gamma',j'',\varepsilon}(x-t)) = \hat{f}(e^{2\pi i(y,t)\varphi_{\gamma',j'',\varepsilon}}(y))
\]

is unbounded and not almost periodic. We obtained a contradiction, therefore, \( m' = 0 \) and \( \hat{f} \) is a measure.

ii) Let \( \mu_1 \) be an atomic part of the measure \( \hat{f} \). Then \( \mu_1 \) has a countable support and \( |\mu_1|(B(r)) = O(r^d) \) as \( r \to \infty \). By Theorem 2.5, part ii), \( \hat{\mu}_1(x) \) and, of course, the inverse Fourier transform \( \hat{\mu}_1(x) = \hat{\mu}_1(-x) \) are almost periodic distributions. Hence for each \( \varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^d) \) the function \( g = (f - \hat{\mu}_1) \ast \varphi \) is almost periodic and its Fourier transform is the measure \( \nu := \hat{\varphi}(\hat{f} - \hat{\mu}_1) \) such that \( \nu(\{y\}) = 0 \) for all \( y \in \mathbb{R}^d \). By Lemma 2 from [5],

\[
\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_d R^d} \int_{B(R)} e^{-2\pi i(x,y)} g(x)dx = 0,
\]

where \( \omega_d \) is the volume of the unit ball in \( \mathbb{R}^d \). Therefore, by the Uniqueness Theorem for almost periodic functions, \( g(x) \equiv 0 \) and \( \hat{f} = \mu_1 \).

To prove part iii) suppose that \( A \) does not satisfy (6). Consider two sequences \( \{\lambda_s\}_{1}^{\infty}, \{\lambda'_s\}_{1}^{\infty} \subset A \) such that

\[
\lambda_s \to \infty, \quad \log |\lambda'_s - \lambda_s|/\log |\lambda_s| \to -\infty, \quad s \to \infty, \quad \nu_s := \lambda'_s - \lambda_s \neq 0.
\]

We can dilute the sequences such that \( |\nu_1| < 1/3, \)

\[
|\nu_s| < \min\{|\nu_{s-1}|^3/\pi^3, |\nu_{s-1}|/(2s - 2)\}, \quad |\lambda_s| > |\lambda_{s-1}| + 1, \quad s \geq 2.
\]

We show that the inverse Fourier transform \( \hat{\mu} \) of the measure \( \mu \) from (13) is not an almost periodic distribution.

Put \( t_s = \nu_s/(2|\nu_s|^2) \). Clearly, we have for \( j > s \)

\[
2\pi|t_s| < |\nu_{s+1}|^{-1/3} \leq |\nu_j|^{-1/3}, \quad |e^{2\pi i(\nu_j,t_s)} - 1| \leq 2\pi|\nu_j|^{2/3}, \quad j > s,
\]

\[
|e^{2\pi i(\lambda'_s,t)} - e^{2\pi i(\lambda_s,t)}| = |e^{2\pi i(\nu_s,t_s)} - 1| = 2.
\]

Let

\[
\psi(x) = \sum_s |\nu_s|^{1/2} \varphi(x - \lambda_s),
\]
Put $M$ with uniformly discrete support, we get the representation (2) for $f$. Assume that $\eta(\Lambda) > 0$. Hence the sets $\Lambda_1$ and under those of Theorem 2.3. By Proposition 3.1, \( \text{ord} f \leq 0 \) and \( \sup \sup_{\|k\| \leq \text{ord} f_1} |p_{\lambda,k}| < \infty \).

6. Proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.3

If $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = \Lambda$, then the condition “$\Lambda - \Lambda$ is strongly discrete” implies that $\Lambda$ is uniformly discrete and $\eta(\Lambda) > 0$. In the general case, we need some additional conditions for uniform discreteness of $\Lambda_1$, $\Lambda_2$ (you can see that if $\Lambda_1 = \{0\}$ then $\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2$ is strongly discrete for every strongly discrete $\Lambda_2$).

Let $\Lambda_1$, $\Lambda_2$ be relatively dense sets, and let $\lambda_s \neq \lambda'_s$ be points from $\Lambda_2$ such that $\lambda_s - \lambda'_s \to 0$ as $s \to \infty$. There are $R < \infty$ and $x_s \in \Lambda_1$ such that $\lambda_s, \lambda'_s \in B(x_s, R)$. Therefore there exist infinitely many points of the set $\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2$ in the ball $B(R)$, which is impossible. Hence $\eta(\Lambda_2) > 0$ and, similarly, $\eta(\Lambda_1) > 0$.

If $f_1$, $f_2$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.1, then the almost periodicity of the distributions $f_1$, $f_2$ follows from Theorem 2.5 (part i) or ii). In particular, $\Lambda_1$ and $\Lambda_2$ are relatively dense. If $f_1$, $f_2$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.3, then, by Corollary 4.3, we get that $\hat{f}_j = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_j} q^{(j)}_\gamma \delta_\gamma$ are measures and

$$q^{(j)}_\gamma = O(|\gamma|^m), \quad |\gamma| \to \infty,$$

for some $m < \infty$. Using part iii) of Theorem 2.5, we obtain that both $f_j$ are almost periodic distributions as well. Hence the sets $\Lambda_1$, $\Lambda_2$ are uniformly discrete both under the conditions of Theorem 2.1 and under those of Theorem 2.3. By Proposition 3.1, \( \text{ord} f_j < \infty \), $j = 1, 2$.

We apply Proposition 4.3 to the measure $\hat{\mu}(y) = \hat{f}_1(-y)$ with $m = 0$ and $H = T - d$ (we can assume that $T \geq d$). Since the Fourier transform of $\hat{\mu}(y)$ is just the tempered distribution $f_1$, with uniformly discrete support, we get the representation (2) for $f_1$ with

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} \sup_{\|k\| \leq \text{ord} f_1} |p_{\lambda,k}| < \infty.$$

Put $M = \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} \kappa_{f_1}(\lambda)$, and pick a number $\varepsilon \in (0, \eta(\Lambda_1)/5)$ such that

$$\sum_{\|k\| \leq \text{ord} f_1} |p_{\lambda,k}| < M/(2\varepsilon) \quad \forall \lambda \in \Lambda_1.$$
Let \( \varphi_\varepsilon(x) \) be a nonnegative \( C^\infty \)-function such that
\[
\text{supp } \varphi_\varepsilon \subset B(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2), \quad \varphi_\varepsilon(x) = 1 \text{ for } |x| < \varepsilon.
\]

Put
\[
\varphi_{k,\varepsilon}(x) = \varphi_\varepsilon(x)x^k/k!, \quad k \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d.
\]

Since \( f_1 \) is almost periodic, we see that the same is true for every continuous function \( \psi \in \text{supp } \varphi_{k,\varepsilon} \).

Fix \( \lambda \in \Lambda_1 \). By [4], there exists \( k' \) such that \( |p_{\lambda,k'}| \geq M \). Put
\[
A = \{k \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d : k_j \leq k'_j \forall j = 1, \ldots, d\}.
\]

For \( x \in B(\lambda, \varepsilon) \) we have
\[
g_{k',\varepsilon}(x) = \left( \sum_{||k|| \leq \text{ord } f_1} p_{\lambda,k}D_k^\delta \lambda \right) \frac{(\lambda - x)^{k'}}{k'!} = \sum_{k \in A} p_{\lambda,k}(-1)^||k|| (\lambda - x)^{k-k'/k' - k}!.
\]

Therefore,
\[
|g_{k',\varepsilon}(x)| \geq |p_{\lambda,k'}| - \varepsilon \sum_{k \in A, k \neq k'} |p_{\lambda,k}| > M/2.
\]

Now set
\[
h_\varepsilon(x) = \min \{1, 2M^{-1} \sup_{||k|| \leq \text{ord } f_1} |g_{k,\varepsilon}(x)|\}.
\]

Clearly, \( h_\varepsilon(x) \) is an almost periodic function and
\[
h_\varepsilon(x) = 1 \text{ for } x \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} B(\lambda, \varepsilon), \quad \text{supp } h_\varepsilon \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} B(\lambda, \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2), \quad 0 \leq h_\varepsilon(x) \leq 1.
\]

Let \( \psi \) be an arbitrary continuous function on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with support in the ball \( B(\eta(\Lambda_1)/5) \). It is readily seen that the function \( \psi \ast h_\varepsilon \) is almost periodic as well. Since \( \varepsilon < \eta(\Lambda_1)/5 \), we see that for each fixed \( t \in \mathbb{R}^d \) the support of the function \( \psi(-t) \) intersects with at most one ball \( B(\lambda, \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2) \). Therefore we get
\[
\left| \int_{B(\lambda, \varepsilon)} h_\varepsilon(x) \psi(x-t) dx \right| \leq \left| \int_{B(\lambda, \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2) \setminus B(\lambda, \varepsilon)} h_\varepsilon(x) \psi(x-t) dx \right| \leq \frac{\omega_d \varepsilon^2}{\omega_d \varepsilon^d} \sup_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\psi(x)|,
\]
and
\[
\left| \int_{B(\lambda, \varepsilon)} h_\varepsilon(x) \psi(x-t) dx - \psi(\lambda-t) \right| \leq \sup_{x \in B(\lambda, \varepsilon)} |\psi(x-t) - \psi(\lambda-t)|.
\]

It follows from (21) and (22) that the almost periodic functions \( (\omega_d \varepsilon^d)^{-1}(\psi \ast h_\varepsilon) \) converge uniformly as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) to the function \( \psi \ast \delta_{\Lambda_1} \), where \( \delta_{\Lambda_1} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} \delta_\lambda \). Hence the function \( \psi \ast \delta_{\Lambda_1} \) is almost periodic. It is readily seen that the same is true for every continuous function \( \psi \) on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with a compact support. A similar construction works for \( \delta_{\Lambda_2} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} \delta_\lambda \).

Thus \( \delta_{\Lambda_1}, \delta_{\Lambda_2} \) are almost periodic measures with a strongly discrete set of differences \( \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2 \). To finish the proof we apply the following theorem.
Theorem 6.1 (see [4], Theorem 6). Let $\mu_1, \mu_2$ be almost periodic measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with countable supports, and $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\mu_1(x)| > 0$, $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^p} |\mu_2(x)| > 0$. If the set of differences of points of $\text{supp} \mu_1$ and $\text{supp} \mu_2$ is strongly discrete, then the supports of both measures are finite unions of translates of a single full-rank lattice $L$.
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