

Infinite Matrices and almost Convergence

Tunay BiLGİN

Received 10/10/2002 Accepted 07/04/2004

Abstract

The main purpose of this paper is to characterize the class of matrices $(c_o(p,s), \hat{c}_o(p)), (c_o(p,s), \hat{c}), (c_o(p,s), \hat{c}_o), (\ell_\infty(p,s), \hat{c})$ and $(\ell_\infty(p,s), \hat{c}_o)$.

1. Introduction

Let X, Y be two nonempty subsets of the space S of all complex sequences and $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of complex numbers $a_{nk} (n, k = 1, 2, \dots)$. For every $x = (x_k) \in X$ and every integer n we write $A_n(x) = \sum_k a_{nk} x_k$ where the sum without limits is always taken from $k = 1$ to $k = \infty$. The sequence $Ax = (A_n(x))$, if it exists, is called the transformation of x by the matrix A . We say that $A \in (X, Y)$ if and only if $Ax \in Y$ whenever $x \in X$.

Let ℓ_∞ and c be the Banach spaces of bounded and convergent sequences $x = (x_k)$ with the usual norm $\|x\| = \text{Sup}_k |x_k|$ respectively. If $x = (x_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is a sequence, then define the shifting operator by $Dx = (x_k)_{k \geq 1}, D^2x = (x_k)_{k \geq 2}$ and so on. It may be recalled that the Banach limit (see Banach [1]) L is a non-negative linear functional on ℓ_∞ such that L is invariant under shift operator (that is, $L(Dx) = L(x) \forall x \in \ell_\infty$) and $L(e) = 1$ where $e = (1, 1, \dots)$. A sequence $x \in \ell_\infty$ is almost convergent if all Banach limits of x coincide. Let \hat{c} denotes the space of almost convergent sequences [5]. It is proved by Lorentz [5] that

$$\hat{c} = \{x = (x_n) : \lim_m t_{mn}(x) \text{ exists uniformly in } n\}$$

$$\text{where } t_{mn}(x) = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{i=0}^m D^i x_n = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{i=0}^m x_{n+i}.$$

If $p_k > 0$ is real and $p = (p_k)$ is such that $\text{sup}_k p_k < \infty$, and $s \geq 0$ then let us list the required sequence spaces as follows ([2], [6], [7], and [8]);

$$\begin{aligned} c_o(p) &= \{x = (x_k) : |x_k|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty\}, \\ c_o(p, s) &= \{x = (x_k) : k^{-s} |x_k|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty\}, \\ c_o(p, s) &= \{x = (x_k) : k^{-s} |x_k|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty\}, \text{ and} \\ \hat{c}_o(p) &= \{x = (x_k) : |t_{m,n}(x)|^{p_m} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

Necessary and sufficient conditions for the classes $(c_o(p,s), \hat{c})$, (ℓ_∞, \hat{c}) , $(c_o(p), \hat{c}_o(p))$, $(c_o(p), \hat{c}), (c_o(p,s), \hat{c}), (\ell_\infty(p), \hat{c})$ and $(\ell_\infty(p), \hat{c}_o)$ have been characterized by King [4], Duran [3], and Nanda [7].

In the sequel, the object of this paper is to characterize the class of matrices $(c_o(p,s), \hat{c}_o(p))$, $(c_o(p,s), \hat{c}), (c_o(p,s), \hat{c}_o)$, $(\ell_\infty(p,s), \hat{c})$ and $(\ell_\infty(p,s), \hat{c}_o)$.

In Theorem 1 we determine the matrices in the class $(c_o(p,s), \hat{c}_o(p))$. Theorem 2 characterizes the matrices in the class $(c_o(p,s), \hat{c})$. In Theorem 3 we determine the matrices in the class $(\ell_\infty(p,s), \hat{c})$. The following notations are used throughout. For all integers $n, m \geq 1$

$$t_{mn}(Ax) = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{i=0}^m A_{n+i}(x) = \sum_k a(n, k, m)x_k \text{ where } a(n, k, m) = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{i=0}^m a_{n+i, k}.$$

2. Main results

We now characterize the matrices in the class $(c_o(p,s), \hat{c}_o(p))$.

Theorem 1. $A \in (c_o(p,s), \hat{c}_o(p))$ if and only if

(i) there exist an integer $B > 1$ such that

$$C_n = \sup_m \left\{ \sum_k |a(n, k, m)| k^{s/p_k} B^{-1/p_k} \right\}^{p_m} < \infty \quad (\forall n),$$

(ii) $\lim_m |a(n, k, m)|^{p_m} = 0$ uniformly in n for each k .

Proof. Necessity. Let $A \in (c_o(p,s), \hat{c}_o(p))$. Define $e_k = (\delta_{nk})_n$ where $\delta_{nk} = 0 (n \neq k) = 1 (n = k)$. Since $e_k \in c_o(p,s)$, (ii) must hold. Using the same kind of argument to that in [7], the necessity of the condition is obtained in a similar manner as done in Theorem 2 (i), by choosing a sequence $x \in c_o(p,s)$;

$$x_k^r = \begin{cases} \delta^{M/p_k} k^{s/p_k} \operatorname{sgn} a(n, k, m), & 0 \leq k \leq r \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

for each r where $M = \max(1, \sup p_k)$ and $0 < \delta < 1$.

Sufficiency. Let conditions (i) - (ii) hold and $x \in c_o(p,s)$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Given $\varepsilon > 0 \exists k_0$ such that for k and m both larger than k_0 , $B^{1/p_k} |k^{-s/p_k} x_k| < (\varepsilon/C_n)^{1/p_m}$. We have

$$|t_{mn}(Ax)|^{p_m} \leq L \left\{ \left| \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} a(n, k, m)x_k \right|^{p_m} + \left| \sum_{k>k_0} a(n, k, m)x_k \right|^{p_m} \right\} = L(S_1 + S_2),$$

where $L = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$ and $H = \sup p_m$. Since (ii) holds $\exists m_0$ such that for $m > m_0$, $|a(n, k, m)| < \varepsilon^{1/p_m}$. Therefore for such m ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{k_0} |a(n, k, m)x_k| \right)^{p_m} < \varepsilon \left(\sum_{k=1}^{k_0} |x_k| \right)^{p_m} \\ &< \varepsilon \max \left\{ 1, \left(\sum_{k=1}^{k_0} |x_k| \right)^M \right\}, \quad M = \max(1, \sup p_k) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

For the sum S_2 , we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_2^{1/p_m} &\geq \left| \sum_{k>k_0} a(n, k, m)x_k \right| = \sum_{k>k_0} |a(n, k, m)|k^{s/p_k} B^{-1/p_k} |k^{-s/p_k} x_k| B^{1/p_k} \\
 &< \sum_{k>k_0} |a(n, k, m)|k^{s/p_k} B^{-1/p_k} (\varepsilon/C_n)^{1/p_m} \\
 &< C_n^{1/p_m} (\varepsilon/C_n)^{1/p_m} = \varepsilon^{1/p_m} \tag{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

and consequently $S_2 < \varepsilon$, ($\forall m > m_0$)

Hence the sufficiency follows from (1) and (2)

Now we prove;

Theorem 2. $A \in (c_o(p,s), \hat{c})$ if and only if

(i) there exist an integer $B > 1$ such that

$$D_n = \sup_m \sum_k |a(n, k, m)| k^{s/p_k} B^{-1/p_k} < \infty (\forall n),$$

(ii) $\exists \alpha_k \in C$ such that $\lim_m a(n, k, m) = \alpha_k$ uniformly in n for each k .

Proof. Necessity. Let $A \in (c_o(p,s), \hat{c})$. Define $e_k = (\delta_{nk})_n$ where $\delta_{nk} = 0(n \neq k) = 1(n = k)$. Since $e_k \in c_o(p,s)$, (ii) must hold. Fix $n \in Z^+$. Put $f_{mn}(x) = t_{mn}(Ax) \cdot \{f_{mn}\}_m$ is a sequence of continuous linear functionals on $c_o(p,s)$, such that $\lim_m f_{mn}(x)$ exists uniformly in n . Therefore as in the necessity part of Theorem 1 the result follows from uniform boundedness principle.

Sufficiency. Let conditions (i) - (ii) hold and $x \in c_o(p,s)$. Hence for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there exists r ;

$$\forall k > r |k^{-s/p_k} x_k v_k|^{p_k/M} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{B(2D_n+1)} < 1$$

and therefore $k > r$

$$B^{1/p_k} |k^{-s/p_k} x_k| < B^{M/p_k} |k^{-s/p_k} x_k| < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2D_n+1}\right)^{M/p_k} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2D_n+1}$$

where $M = \max(1, \sup p_k)$. By (i) and (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_k |(a(n, k, m) - \alpha_k)x_k| &\leq \sum_k |(a(n, k, m) - \alpha_k)|k^{s/p_k} B^{-1/p_k} \\
 &\leq \sum_k |a(n, k, m)|k^{s/p_k} B^{-1/p_k} + \sum_k |\alpha_k|k^{s/p_k} B^{-1/p_k} \\
 &< 2D_n < \infty (\forall n)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k>r} |(a(n, k, m) - \alpha_k)x_k| < \varepsilon.$$

Also, $\lim_m \sum_{k \leq r} |(a(n, k, m) - \alpha_k)x_k| = 0$ uniformly in n .

Therefore, we have $\lim_m \sum_k a(n, k, m)x_k = \sum_k \alpha_k x_k$ uniformly in n .

Let \hat{c}_o denote the space of sequences almost convergent to zero.

Corollary. $A \in (c_o(p,s), \hat{c}_o)$ if and only if

(i) there exist an integer $B > 1$ such that

$$\sup_m \sum_k |a(n, k, m)| k^{s/p_k} B^{-1/p_k} < \infty (\forall n),$$

(ii) $\lim_m a(n, k, m) = 0$ uniformly in n for each k .

Proof. Once we observe that $\alpha_k = 0$, in this case, the proof is immediate.

We now consider the class $(\ell_\infty(p, s), \hat{c})$

Theorem 3. $A \in (\ell_\infty(p, s), \hat{c})$ if and only if

(i) $\exists \alpha_k \in C$ such that $\lim_m a(n, k, m) = \alpha_k$ uniformly in n for each k ,

(ii) $\sup_m \sum_k |a(n, k, m)| < \infty$ ($\forall n$)

(iii) for all integers $N > 1$ $\lim_m \sum_k |a(n, k, m) - \alpha_k| k^{s/p_k} N^{1/p_k} = 0$ uniformly in n .

Proof. Necessity. Let $A \in (\ell_\infty(p, s), \hat{c})$. Since $e_k \in \ell_\infty(p, s)$, (i) must hold. Fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Put $f_{mn}(x) = t_{mn}(Ax)$. $\{f_{mn}\}_m$ is a sequence of bounded linear operators on $\ell_\infty(p, s)$ such that $\sup_m |t_{mn}(Ax)| < \infty$. Since $(\ell_\infty(p, s), \hat{c}) \subseteq (\ell_\infty(p), \hat{c})$ (ii) holds (see Nanda [7]). Let

$C = (C_{nk}) = (a_{nk} k^{s/p_k} N^{1/p_k}) \notin (\ell_\infty, c)$ be an infinite matrix. If (iii) is false, then the matrix $C \notin (\ell_\infty, \hat{c})$. So there exists $x \in \ell_\infty$ with $\|x\|=1$ such that $Cx \notin \hat{c}$. Now $y = (y_k) = (k^{s/p_k} N^{1/p_k} x_k) \in \ell_\infty(p, s)$, but $Ay = Cx \notin \hat{c}$ and this contradiction completes the proof.

Sufficiency. Suppose that the conditions (i) - (iii) hold. Take an integer

$N > \max(1, \sup_k k^{-s} |x_k|^{p_k})$. We have

$$\sum_k |(a(n, k, m) - \alpha_k) x_k| \leq \sum_k |a(n, k, m) - \alpha_k| k^{s/p_k} N^{1/p_k}$$

By (i) and (iii) it follows that

$$\lim_m \sum_k a(n, k, m) x_k = \sum_k \alpha_k x_k \text{ uniformly in } n. \text{ This completes the proof.}$$

Corollary. $A \in (\ell_\infty(p, s), \hat{c}_o)$ if and only if

(i) $\sup_m \sum_k |a(n, k, m)| < \infty$ ($\forall n$)

(ii) for all integers $N > 1$ $\lim_m \sum_k |a(n, k, m)| k^{s/p_k} N^{1/p_k} = 0$ uniformly in n .

Proof. Follows from Theorem 3 taking $\alpha_k = 0$ for each k .

References

- [1] Banach, S.: Théorie des Opérations Linéaires, Monografie Matematyczne, vol. 1, Warsaw, 1932.
- [2] Basarir, M.: On Some New Sequence Spaces and Related Matrix Transformations; Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. (10)26, 1003-1010, 1995.
- [3] Duran, J.P.: Infinite Matrices and Almost Convergence, Math. Zeit. 128, 75-83, 1972.
- [4] King, J.P.: Almost Summable Sequences, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 17, 1219-1225, 1966.

-
- [5] Lorentz, G.G.: A Contribution to the Theory of Divergent Sequences, *Acta. Math.*, 80, 167–190, 1948.
- [6] Maddox, I.J.: Spaces of Strongly Summable Sequences, *Quarterly J. Math. Oxford*, (2)18, 345–355, 1967.
- [7] Nanda, S.: Infinite Matrices and Almost Convergence, *Journal of the Indian Math. Soc.*, 40, 173–184, 1976.
- [8] Simons, S.: The Sequence Spaces $l(p_v)$ and $m(p_v)$, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, (3)15, 422–436, 1965.

*Department of Mathematics, University of 100.Yıl, Van TURKEY

◇ Tunay BiLGİN
Department of Mathematics,
Yüzüncü Yıl University,
Van-Turkey
tbilgin@yyu.edu.tr